1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORT	THERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	JOSEPH F. ARISPE,	No. C 03-04269 CRB
12	Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM
13	V.	
14	TOM TIMMER,	
515	Defendant.	
	W. d. i d. l	
	We, the jury in the above-ent	titled case, find the following:
		ACT-NEGLIGENCE CLAIM
19		nt?
20		
2122		no unswer question nos. 2 and 3, otherwise skip to question
23		inswer question hos. 2 and 3, otherwise skip to question
24		
25		ce cause plaintiff's shoulder injury?
26		p.m s showing injury.
27		1O
28		

1	3.	Did defendants' negligence cause plaintiff's back injury?
2		Answer "Yes" or "No."
3		Answer: YES NO
4		
5		UNSEAWORTHINESS CLAIM-BOUNTIFUL
6	4.	Was the vessel Bountiful unseaworthy?
7		Answer "Yes" or "No."
8		Answer: YES NO
9		If you answered yes, please answer question nos. 5 and 6, otherwise skip to question
10	no. 7.	
11		
12	5.	Was the unseaworthiness of the Bountiful a substantial factor in causing plaintiff's
13		shoulder injury?
14 E		Answer "Yes" or "No."
13 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6		Answer: YES NO
ern Gille		
117 S	6.	Was the unseaworthiness of the Bountiful a substantial factor in causing plaintiff's
<u>취</u> 8		back injury?
19		Answer "Yes" or "No."
20		Answer: YES NO
21		
22		UNSEAWORTHINESS CLAIM-DONITA
23	7.	Was the Donita unseaworthy?
24		Answer "Yes" or "No."
25		Answer: YES NO
26		If you answered yes, please answer question nos. 8 and 9, otherwise skip to question
27	no. 10	
20		

1	8.	Wast	the uns	eaworthine	ss of the D	onita a su	bstantial	factor in	causing p	olaintiff's	
2		shoul	der inj	ury?							
3		Answ	er "Ye	es" or "No."	,						
4		Answ	er:	YES	NO						
5											
6	9.	Was t	the uns	eaworthine	ss of the D	Oonita a su	bstantial	factor in	causing p	olaintiff's	back
7		injury	<i>i</i> ?								
8		Answ	er "Y e	es" or "No."	,						
9		Answ	er:	YES	_ NO						
10											
11]	NEGLIGE	NCE/UNS	SEAWOR	THINES	SS DAM	AGES		
12	If you	i answe	red ye	s to questio	n nos. 2,3,	5,6, 8, or	9 please d	answer q	uestions 1	nos. 10 an	d 11.
. 13											
For the Northern District of California 8	10.	With	out tak	king into con	nsideration	n any redu	ction of d	lamages	due to pla	intiff's	
rict of		negli	gence,	what do yo	u find to b	e the total	amount o	of damag	es, if any	, suffered	by
ern Dist		plaint	tiff as a	result of h	is shoulde	r injury an	d/or back	injury?			
North North		Answ	er:								
8 lgr		(a)	Past	loss of inco	me:						
19		(b)	Futui	re loss of in	come:						
20		(c)	Pain	and sufferin	ng:						
21		(d)	Futui	e medical e	expenses:						
22		(e)	Hous	ehold servi	ces:						
23											
24											
25											
26											

1	11.	If you awarded damages for plaintiff's shoulder injury and back injury, do you find					
2		that those damages can be allocated between the shoulder injury and back injury, and					
3		if so, how are they allocated?					
4		Answer "Yes" or "No."					
5		Answer: YES NO					
6		If you answered yes, please allocate the damages where appropriate on the following					
7	lines.	. The total for each line (shoulder plus back) should equal the total for each line in you					
8	answe	swer to question no. 10. If you do not find that the damages can be allocated for a					
9	partic	ular line item, please leave that line item blank.					
10		Shoulder Injury Back Injury					
11		(a) Past loss of income:					
12		(b) Future loss of income:					
13		(c) Pain and suffering:					
		(d) Future medical expenses:					
15		(e) Household services:					
ern Fis							
13 4 5 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		NEGLIGENCE/UNSEAWORTHINESS COMPARABLE NEGLIGENCE					
<u>-</u> 18		If you awarded a damages amount in question no. 10, please answer the following					
19	questi						
20	12.	Was the plaintiff negligent?					
21		Answer "Yes" or "No."					
22		Answer: YES NO					
23		If you answered yes, please answer question nos. 13 and 15 (and 14 and 16 where					
24	appro	priate), otherwise skip to question no. 17.					
25							
26							
27							
20	•						

1	13.	Did plaintiff's negligence cause his shoulder injury?
2		Answer "Yes" or "No."
3		Answer: YES NO
4		If you answered yes, please answer question nos. 14 and 15, otherwise skip to
5	questi	ion no. 15.
6		
7	14.	Assuming that 100% represents the total amount of harm suffered by plaintiff as a
8		result of his shoulder injury, what percentage of plaintiff's harm was due to his own
9		negligence and what percentage is attributable to either defendants' negligence or the
10		unseaworthiness of the vessels?
11		Answer:
12		Defendants/vessels %
13		Plaintiff%
For the Northern District of California 8 2 9 5 8		[Total must equal 100%]
15 15 15		
igna 16	15.	Did plaintiff's negligence cause his back injury?
17 17		Answer "Yes" or "No."
8 lgth		Answer: YES NO
19		If you answered yes, please answer question no. 16, otherwise skip to question no. 17.
20		
21	16.	Assuming that 100% represents the total amount of harm suffered by plaintiff as a
22		result of his back injury, what percentage of plaintiff's harm was due to his own
23		negligence and what percentage is attributable to either defendants' negligence or the
24		unseaworthiness of the vessels?
25		Answer:
26		Defendants/vessels %
27		Plaintiff%
28		[Total must equal 100%]
	I	

1		MAINTENANCE AND CURE CLAIM
2	17.	Did the defendants fail to provide plaintiff with maintenance to which he was
3		entitled?
4		Answer "Yes" or "No."
5		Answer: YES NO
6		If you answered yes, please answer question nos. 18 and 19, otherwise skip to
7	questi	ion no. 20.
8		
9	18.	What is the amount of money that will compensate plaintiff for the amount of
10		maintenance that you find he is entitled to recover?
11		Answer:
12		
. <u>g</u> 13	19.	Was the defendants' failure to provide maintenance willful or arbitrary?
Talifor		Answer "Yes" or "No."
For the Northern District of California 8		Answer: YES NO
19	20.	Did the defendants fail to provide plaintiff with the "cure" to which he was entitled in
20		a timely fashion?
21		Answer "Yes" or "No."
22		Answer: YES NO
23		If you answered yes, please answer question no. 21, otherwise skip to question no. 22,
24		
25	21.	Was the defendants' failure to provide cure in a timely fashion willful or arbitrary?
26		Answer "Yes" or "No."
27		Answer: YES NO
28		

1		STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
2	22.	Did the plaintiff know, or by the exercise of reasonable diligence should he have
3		known, prior to September 17, 2000, that he had suffered the shoulder injury for
4		which he claims damages in this lawsuit?
5		Answer "Yes" or "No."
6		Answer: YES NO
7		
8	23.	Did the plaintiff know, or by the exercise of reasonable diligence should he have
9		known, prior to September 17, 2000, that he had suffered the back injury for which he
10		claims damages in this lawsuit?
11		Answer "Yes" or "No."
12		Answer: YES NO
13		
13 14		
15	Dated:	
16		Jury Foreperson
17		
4	I	